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Abstract. An algebra of pictures is described that is sufficiently powerful to denote the structure of a well-known Escher woodcut, Square Limit. A decomposition of the picture that is reasonably faithful to Escher's original design is given. This illustrates how a suitably chosen algebraic specification can be both a clear description and a practical implementation method. It also allows us to address some of the criteria that make a good algebraic description.
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A picture is an example of a complex object that can be described in terms of its parts.

Let us define a picture as a function which takes three arguments, each being two-space vectors and returns a set of graphical objects to be rendered on the output device.
type Box = $\{\mathrm{a}:$ Vector
b : Vector
c : Vector \}
type Picture = Box $->$ Rendering

## george


also george

still george


## turn

$$
=>
$$

turnBox : Box -> Box turn Box $\{a, b, c\}=\{a=a d d a b$

$$
, b=c
$$

$$
\text { , } c=\operatorname{neg} b\}
$$

turn : Picture -> Picture turn $p=$ turnBox $\gg p$
turn



## turn >> turn


turn >> turn >> turn


turn $\gg$ turn $\gg$ turn $\gg$ turn


## flip

=>
flipBox : Box -> Box flipBox $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}=\{\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{add} \mathrm{a} b$

$$
\text { , } \mathrm{b}=\text { neg } \mathrm{b}
$$

$$
, c=c\}
$$

flip : Picture -> Picture flip p = flipBox >> p

## flip




## flip >> flip


toss
$=>$
tossBox : Box -> Box
tossBox $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}=$
\{ a = add a (scale 0.5 (add bc))
, b = scale 0.5 (add bc)
, $c=$ scale 0.5 (sub cb) \} ~
toss : Picture -> Picture toss $p$ = tossBox >> p
toss


above george ((turn >> turn) george)

aboveRatio : Int -> Int -> Pic -> Pic -> Pic aboveRatio m n pi p2 =
box ->
let

$$
f=m /(m+n)
$$

(b1, b2) = splitVertically f box
in

$$
(\mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{~b} 1)++(\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~b} 2)
$$

above : Pic -> Pic -> Pic above pi p2 = aboveRatio 11
above george ((turn >> turn) george)

above george ((turn >> turn) george)


## mirrorgeorge



## mirrorgeorge


aboveRatio 21 mirrorgeorge george

$=>$


## beside (flip george) george



## besideRatio 12 george twingeorge



## quartet g1 g2 g3 g4



$$
=>
$$



## quartet : P -> P -> P -> P -> P quartet nw ne sw se = above (beside nw ne) (beside sw se)

## toss



## nonet $h$ e $n d e r s o n$

## H E N <br> D E R $\Rightarrow$ <br> HEN <br> D ER <br> 5 ( N <br> 5 D N

```
nonet : P -> P >> P > P >> P >> P >> P >> P >> P -> P
    let
        row w m e = besideRatio 1 2 w (beside m e)
        col n m s = aboveRatio 1 2 n (above m s)
        in
        col (row nw nm ne)
        (row mw mm me)
        (row sw sm se)
```


## nonets are just pictures

## H E N D <br> H E N <br>  5 an

## a fish picture



## over fish ((turn >> turn) fish)



# over : Pic -> Pic -> Pic over p1 p2 <br> box -> p1 box ++ p2 box 

## ttile


ttile : Picture -> Picture ttile $\mathrm{p}=$
let

$$
\mathrm{pn}=(\text { toss } \gg \mathrm{flip}) \mathrm{p}
$$

$$
\text { pe }=(\text { turn } \gg \text { turn } \gg \text { turn) } p
$$

in
over $p$ (over pn pe)

## ttile



## utile



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { utile : Picture -> Picture } \\
& \text { utile } p= \\
& \text { let } \\
& \mathrm{pn}=(\text { toss } \gg \mathrm{flip}) \mathrm{p} \\
& \text { pw = turn pn } \\
& \text { ps = turn pw } \\
& \text { pe }=\text { turn } p s \\
& \text { in } \\
& \text { over pn (over pw (over ps pe)) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## utile



## side 0



$$
=>
$$

## side 1



$$
=>
$$

## side 2



$$
=>
$$



## side 3



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { side : Int -> Picture -> Picture } \\
& \text { side } n \text { p = } \\
& \text { if } n<=0 \text { then blank } \\
& \text { else } \\
& \text { let } \\
& s=\text { side (n - 1) p } \\
& t=\text { ttile } p \\
& \text { in } \\
& \text { quartet } s \text { s (turn } t) ~ t
\end{aligned}
$$

## corner 0



$$
=>
$$

## corner 1



$$
=>
$$

## corner 2



$$
=>
$$

## corner 3



```
corner : Int -> Picture -> Picture
corner n p =
    if n <= 0 then blank
    else
    let
\[
\begin{aligned}
& c=\operatorname{corner}(n-1) p \\
& s=\operatorname{side}(n-1) p
\end{aligned}
\]
in
```

$$
\text { quartet } c \text { s (turn s) (utile p) }
$$

## square-limit 0



$$
=>
$$

## square-limit 1



## square-limit 2



## square-limit 3



```
squareLimit : Int -> Picture -> Picture
squareLimit n p =
    let
        mm = utile p
        nw = corner n p
        sw = turn nw
        se = turn sw
        ne = turn se
        nm = side n p
        mw = turn nm
        sm = turn mw
        me = turn sm
    in
        nonet nw nm ne mw mm me sw sm se
```


## Henderson's square limit



A picture needs to be rendered on a printer or a screen by a device that expects to be given a sequence of commands.

Programming that sequence of commands directly is much harder than having an application generate the commands automatically from the simpler, denotational description.

The pictures were drawn by a Java program which generated PostScript commands directly. The Java was written in a functional style so that the definitions which were executed were exactly as they appear in the paper.

The pictures were drawn by a PostScript program which generated PostScript commands directly. The PostScript was written in a functional style so that the definitions which were executed were not unlike as they appear in the paper.

It probably is true that PostScript is not everyone's first choice as a programming language. But let's put that premise behind us, and assume that you need (or want) to write a program in the PostScript language.

